

From: Bruce Struthers bruce.struthers@gmail.com
Subject: Re: 166 Mapua Dr Mt Hope Holding RCs
Date: 21 August 2024 at 6:54 PM
To: Fiona Bibby-Smith secretary@ourmapua.org
Cc: pmcintosh64@yahoo.com, marionawayfromhome@gmail.com

BS

Hello, Fiona.

Thank you for forwarding this collection of resource consent decisions. I cannot agree with Paul's statement that:

"all are available via the TDC website"

The "track a resource" web page will provide some detail on the resource consent application numbers with various cryptic dated entries of the progress of the applications.

<https://tasman.govt.nz/do-it-online/track/track-a-resource-consent-application/>

The Microsoft BI Report page provide by Councillor Kininmonth will provide a report summarizing various applications as well.

<https://www.tasman.govt.nz/my-property/resource-consents-and-subdivision/recent-resource-consent-applications-and-decisions/>

The actual decisions by Council staff, and the documents supporting the application, are NOT readily available to the general public from the TDC website.

These may be available to authorized TDC staff, applicants and consultants through a "shared folder" hosted on the Microsoft cloud, but not the general public.

Please do not propagate the misinformation that the decisions, or supporting detail, are "all available via the TDC website". If I am wrong, I would be delighted to be shown where to locate this detailed information.

In fifteen minutes of scanning the decisions supplied, I have found multiple discrepancies between the decisions and the "for sale" map posted on road reserve outside the construction site. I also observed, photographed and sent to the compliance officer a violation of this condition:

"Lots 1-10 ...

(i) No earthworks or vegetation removal shall be carried out between the retaining wall and the Aranui Park boundary, except where required for weed control or in relation to the maintenance of the retaining wall"

The District CEO reports on an increasing trend of LGOIMA requests since she took office. This is likely because of the practice of her staff in withholding public information. The trend is unlikely to subside as more development activity is consented without public knowledge or consultation.

I believe that the MDCA still holds to its objectives as stated in the Constitution. Public information about proposed construction on the numerous HAIL sites in Mapua needs to be provided with a minimum of effort by any resident. "Collection" of this information does not stop when a council staff member has provided a small subset of information of concern to the community.

You can expect a discussion of this topic every MDCA meeting until the issue of transparency is resolved. Please book my three minutes for September and October.

Best regards,

Bruce Struthers

On Wed, Aug 21, 2024 at 4:11 PM Fiona Bibby-Smith <secretary@ourmapua.org> wrote:

Hi Bruce

Forwarded as per requested by Paul.

Regards
Fiona

Begin forwarded message:

From: Paul McIntosh <pmcintosh64@yahoo.com>
Subject: 166 Mapua Dr Mt Hope Holding RCs
Date: 21 August 2024 at 2:45:46 PM NZST
To: MDCA Secretary <secretary@ourmapua.org>, Marion Satherley <marionawayfromhome@gmail.com>

Fiona / Marion,

I am sharing this with you as you have both been included in Bruce Struthers ongoing email correspondence regarding current works at the Mt Hope development site. Fiona - please forward this email to Bruce.

I spoke with Jenna Wolter today regarding this matter (correspondence forwarded to secretary).

Attached is a summary of all 6 RCs granted covering the Mt Hope Development - all are available via the TDC website.

All current activities (earthworks, roading, drainage, retaining wall construction etc) are **permitted activities** and pre-work site meetings were conducted between the contractor and TDC Compliance staff. Completion of the current infrastructure works is required prior to the Developer being granted title to the individual residential blocks (some of which have already been pre-sold).

Accordingly I do not see any further role at this time for the MDCA other than sharing these RCs with Bruce (which he may well already have). Per Fiona's advice to Bruce, if he considers the activities/works are in breach of the RC conditions (laid out within the attached consents), he should continue to contact the TDC directly, and of course is welcome to raise the matter again at the next Public Meeting.

Per Christine Mackenzie's suggestion, Jenna Wolter and Annie Reed were invited and have agreed to attend the October Public Meeting to discuss the RC process - we can ask members at the Sept meeting what they would like to see covered.

Thanks,
Paul.