

From: Bruno.Lemke@xtra.co.nz
To: Sharon.Flood@tasman.govt.nz
Cc: Bylee.Wayman@tasman.govt.nz; info@ourmapua.org; "Devin Gallagher"
Subject: Further questions on the LTP submission process
Date: Friday, June 8, 2018 10:55:03 AM

Hi Sharron,

Again thank you for your quick reply to our queries on the LTP.

On behalf of the MDCA, I have further questions.

In the Deliberation Document under "Staff Comments" (item 15.44) it was stated: "The Ruby Bay Seawall and the Old Mill Seawall are currently maintained to their respective original designed condition in line with the resource consent." This question relates to the Ruby Bay Seawall – the one protecting residents on Broadsea Avenue. Please advise who I should contact if you are unable to answer the questions below:

- a. Can we please have a copy of the Original Consent for the Ruby Bay Seawall. It would have been issued in 1998 or 1999.
- b. We believe the staff comments are misleading because the consent of the original Ruby Bay Seawall expired after 10 years. The consent was renewed in 2011 and I do have a copy of this 2011 consent. However the 2011 consent is apparently substantially different from 1999 and while the current Ruby Bay Seawall may well comply with the 1999 consent, it is not up to the standard of the 2011 consent. How can the MDCA appeal the LTP at this stage based on inaccurate information presented in the deliberation document?

Bruno Lemke

Convenor of MCDA water infrastructure subcommittee

From: Bruno.Lemke@xtra.co.nz <Bruno.Lemke@xtra.co.nz>
Sent: Tuesday, 5 June 2018 7:18 AM
To: 'Sharon.Flood@tasman.govt.nz' <Sharon.Flood@tasman.govt.nz>
Subject: Comment on the LTP submission process

Hi Sharron,

My name is Bruno Lemke and I am convenor of the water infrastructure subcommittee in the Mapua and Districts Community association (MDCA). I have a couple questions on the recent LTP submissions. I will ring later this week to see what the response is, but I thought I would send an email first so you could find out the details:

1. I put in a submission to the LTP on behalf of the MDCA. I checked through all the submitters in relation to the stormwater issues at Ruby Bay and none of the submitter numbers matched what I had said in the written submission. As I was also originally left off the speaker list, I am wondering if my written report actually was actually submitted. If so, could I please have my submitter number?
2. I submitted on behalf of the MDCA. I sent out my draft report to at least 100 members of the MDCA and they approved its submission. It seems there is no process whereby large group submissions count more than an individual's submission. Is this likely to continue? If so, can you suggest how a group submission might be able to have a larger weighting than an individual person's submission?

Bruno
Convener, Water Infrastructure Subcommittee
Mapua and Districts Community Association



Virus-free. www.avast.com